

ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND RESEARCHES COMPATIBLE MAPPING AND COMMON FIXED POINT FOR FIVE MAPPINGS

O. P. Gupta

Shri Yogindra Sagar Institute of Technology & Science, Ratlam

ABSTRACT

In this paper, it is proved that the existence of unique common fixed point theorem involving for five mappings with semi-compatibility, weak compatibility and commutativity on Metric space. This result improves and generalizes some known result of Imdad and Khan [7] by using functional expressions. **Subject Classification.** Primary 54H25, Secondary 47H10

Keywords- Fixed point, Complete metric space, semi-compatibility and weak compatibility mappings.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of common fixed point of mapping satisfying different contraction condition has been a very active field of research activity and may be extended to the abstract spaces. Fisher[4,5] generalizes affixed point theorem of Jungck[6]. Hicks and Kubicek [1] proved the Mann iteration process in Hilbert space. Pandhare and Waghmode [9] proved a common fixed point theorem in Hilbert space. Srinivas .V [11] proved a common fixed point theorem on compatible mappings of type (p). Shrivastava [12] a proved compatible mapping and common fixed point theorem. Gupta [13] Common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (A-1) in complete fuzzy metric space. Sessa [10] introduced the notion of weak commutativity which asserts that a pair of self mapping (A,B) on a metric space (X, d) is said to be weakly commuting if $d(ABx, BAx) \le d(Bx, Ax)$ for all x in X. Motivated by Sessa [10], The notion of compatible mapping was introduced by Jungck [7], which asserts that a pair self mapping (A,B) of a

metric space (X, d) is said to be compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} (ABx_n, BAx_n) = 0$ whenver $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Bx_n = t \square \square X$. A weakly commuting pair is compatible, but not conversely as demonstrated in Jungck [7]. Lohani and Badshah [8] proved some common fixed point theorem for four compatible mappings on Metric space ,Imdad [2] proved a unique common fixed point theorem on five mappings.

Definition 1. Let *S* and *T* be mappings from a metric space (X,d) into itself. Then mappings *S* and *T* are said to be *compatible* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in *X* such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 2. Let *S* and *T* be mappings from a metric space (X,d) into itself. Then mappings *S* and *T* are said to be *weakly compatible* if they commute at their coincidence point that is STx=TSx whenever Sx=Tx, $x \in X$.

Definition 3. Let *S* and *T* be mappings from a metric space (*X*,*d*) into itself. Then mappings *S* and *T* are said to be *semi-compatible* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, Tx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in *X* such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$

for some $t \in X$.

Note that compatible mappings are weakly compatible but weakly compatible mappings are not necessarily compatible and clearly the pair (S,T) is semi-compatible then they are weakly compatible.

In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem involving five mappings which generalizes earlier result due to Imdad and Khan [3] by improving contraction condition besides optimally chosen suitable semi compatible, weak compatible and commuting condition on Complete Metric space by using a rational inequality.

150





ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

Theorem 1. Let A, B, S, T and P be self mappings of complete metric space (X,d) satisfying the $AB(X) \subset P(X)$, $ST(X) \subset P(X)$ and $AB(X) \cap ST(X) \subset P(X)$ and

$$d(ABx, STy) \leq \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABx, Px)\{1 + d(STy, Py)\}}{\{1 + d(Px, Py)\}} \right]$$

$$+ \alpha_2 \left[d(ABx, Py) + d(STy, Px) \right] + \alpha_3 d(Px, Py)$$
for each x, y \in X and $\alpha_1 \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \geq 0, \alpha_3 \pm 2\alpha_3 \pm \alpha_3 \leq 1$ either if

for each $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \ge 0, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 1$ either if ,

(a) {AB, P} are semi-compatible, P or AB is continuous and (ST,P) are weakly compatible or (b){ST, P} are semi-compatible P or ST is continuous and (AB, P) are weakly compatible. Then AB, ST and P have a unique common fixed point. Furthermore if the pairs (A,B),(A,P),(B,P),(S,T),(S,P) are commuting mapping then A,B,S,T and P have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X, since $AB(X) \subset P(X)$ we can find a point x_1 in X such that $ABx_0 = Px_1$. Also since $ST(X) \subset P(X)$ we can choose a point x_2 with $STx_1 = Ix_2$, using this argument repeatedly one can construct a sequence $\{z_n\}$ such that

 $z_{2n} = ABx_{2n} = Px_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1} = STx_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+2}$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ... $d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}) = d(ABx_{2n+2}, STx_{2n+1})$

$$\leq \alpha_{1} \left[\frac{d(ABx_{2n+2}, Px_{2n+2}) \{1 + d(STx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})\}}{\{1 + d(Px_{2n+2}, Px_{2n+1})\}} \right]$$

$$+ \alpha_{2} \left[d(ABx_{2n+2}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(STx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}) \right] + \alpha_{3} d(Px_{2n+2}, Px_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \alpha_{1} \left[\frac{d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}) \{1 + d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n})\}}{\{1 + d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n})\}} \right]$$

$$+ \alpha_{2} \left[d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n}) + d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}) \right] + \alpha_{3} d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n})$$

$$\leq \alpha_{1} \left[d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}) \right] + \alpha_{2} \left[d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n}) \right] + \alpha_{3} d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n})$$

$$d(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3}}{(1 - \alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2})} d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n})$$
where $k = \frac{\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3}}{(1 - \alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2})} < 1$.
Thus for every n we have,

Th

$$d(z_{n+1}, z_n) \le k \, d(z_n, z_{n-1})$$
 where $k = \frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{(1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)} < 1$ (2)

which shows that $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Metric space (X,d) and so has a limit point z in X. Hence the sequence $ABx_{2n} = Px_{2n+1}$ and $STx_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+2}$ which are subsequences also converge to the point z.

Let us now assume that P is continuous so that the sequences $\{P^2x_{2n}\}$ and $\{PABx_{2n}\}$ converges to Pz and also in view of semi-compatibility of $\{AB,P\}$, $\{ABPx_{2n}\}$ converges to Pz. Now put $x = Px_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in equation (1), we have





ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

$$d(ABPx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABPx_{2n}, P^2x_{2n})\{1 + d(STx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})\}}{\{1 + d(P^2x_{2n}, Px_{2n+1})\}} \right] \\ + \alpha_2 \left[d(ABPx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(STx_{2n+1}P^2x_{2n},) \right] + \alpha_3 d(P^2x_{2n}, Px_{2n+1})$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$d(Pz,z) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(Pz,Pz)\{1+d(z,z)\}}{\{1+d(Pz,z)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(Pz,z)+d(z,Pz)] + \alpha_3 d(Pz,z)$$

$$d(Pz,z) \le (2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) d(Pz,z)$$

so that Pz = z

Now put x=z and $y=x_{2n+1}$ in equation (1)

$$d(ABz, STx_{2n+1}) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz, Pz) \{ 1 + d(STx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1}) \}}{\{ 1 + d(Pz, Px_{2n+1}) \}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(ABz, Px_{2n+1}) + d(STx_{2n+1}, Pz)] + \alpha_3 d(Pz, Px_{2n+1})$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$d(ABz, z) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz, z) \{1 + d(z, z)\}}{\{1 + d(z, z)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(ABz, z) + d(z, z)] + \alpha_3 d(z, z)$$

$$d(ABz, z) \le (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) d(ABz, z)$$

so that ABz = z.

Since $AB(X) \subset P(X)$ there always exists a point z' such that Pz' = z so that STz = ST(Pz'). Now put $x = x_{2n}$ and y = z' in equation (1),

$$d(ABx_{2n}, STz') \leq \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABx_{2n}, Px_{2n})\{1 + d(STz', Pz')\}}{\{1 + d(Px_{2n}, Pz')\}} \right] + \alpha_2 \left[d(ABx_{2n}, Pz') + d(STz', Px_{2n}) \right] + \alpha_3 d(Px_{2n}, Pz')$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$d(z, STz') \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(z, z) \{1 + d(STz', z)\}}{\{1 + d(z, z)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(z, z) + d(STz', z)] + \alpha_3 d(z, z)$$

(1-\alpha_2) d(STz', z) \le 0

so that STz' = z. Hence STz' = z = Pz 'which shows that z' is the coincidence point of ST and P. Now using the weak compatibility of (ST, P), we have STz = ST (Pz') = P(STz') = Pz, which shows that z is also a coincidence point of the pair (ST,P).

Now put
$$x = z$$
 and $y = z$ in equation (1)
$$d(ABz, STz) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz, Pz) \{1 + d(STz, Pz)\}}{\{1 + d(Pz, Pz)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(ABz, Pz) + d(STz, Pz)] + \alpha_3 d(Pz, Pz)$$

152





ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

$$DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1293839$$

$$d(z, STz) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(z, z) \{ 1 + d(STz, z) \}}{\{ 1 + d(z, z) \}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(z, z) + d(STz, z)] + \alpha_3 d(z, z)$$

$$(1 - \alpha_2) d(STz, z) \le 0$$

so that STz = z. Hence z = STz = Pz which shows that z is common fixed point of AB, ST and P.

Now suppose that AB is continuous so that the sequence $\{AB^2x_{2n}\}$ and $\{ABPx_{2n}\}$ converges ABz. Since (AB,P) is semi-compatible it follows that $\{PABx_{2n}\}$ also converges to ABz.

Thus put $x = ABx_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in equation (1) we have

$$d(AB^{2}x_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}) \leq \alpha_{1} \left[\frac{d(AB^{2}x_{2n}, PABx_{2n}) \{1 + d(STx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})\}}{\{1 + d(PABx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1})\}} \right] + \alpha_{2} \left[d(AB^{2}x_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(STx_{2n+1}, PABx_{2n}) \right] + \alpha_{3} d(PABx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1})$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$d(ABz,z) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz,ABz)\{1+d(z,z)\}}{\{1+d(ABz,z)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 \left[d(ABz,z) + d(z,ABz) \right] + \alpha_3 d(ABz,z)$$

$$(1-2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) d(ABz,z) \le 0$$
so that $ABz = z$.

Let there exist z' in X such that ABz = z = Pz'. Then put $x = ABx_{2n}$ and y = z' in equation (1)

$$d(AB^{2}x_{2n}, STz') \leq \alpha_{1} \left[\frac{d(AB^{2}x_{2n}, PABx_{2n})\{1 + d(STz', Pz')\}}{\{1 + d(PABx_{2n}, Pz')\}} \right] + \alpha_{2} \left[d(AB^{2}x_{2n}, Pz') + d(STz', PABx_{2n}) \right] + \alpha_{3} d(PABx_{2n}, Pz')$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$d(ABz, STz') \leq \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz, ABz) \{1 + d(STz', z)\}}{\{1 + d(ABz, z)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 \left[d(ABz, z) + d(STz', ABz) \right] + \alpha_3 d(ABz, z)$$

(1- α_2) $d(z, STz') \leq 0$
so that $STz' = z$.

This gives STz' = z = Pz' Thus z' is a coincidence point of (ST,P) since the pair (ST,P) is weakly compatible one

has STz = ST (Pz') = Pz which show that STz = Pz. Put $x = x_{2n}$ and y = z in equation (1) we have

$$d(ABx_{2n}, STz) \leq \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABx_{2n}, Px_{2n}) \{1 + d(STz, Pz)\}}{\{1 + d(Px_{2n}, Pz)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 \left[d(ABx_{2n}, Pz) + d(STz, Px_{2n}) \right] + \alpha_3 d(Px_{2n}, Pz)$$



RESEARCHERID

[FRTSSDS- June 2018] DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1293839 ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$d(z, STz) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(z, z) \{1 + d(STz, z)\}}{\{1 + d(z, z)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(z, z) + d(STz, z)] + \alpha_3 d(z, z)$$

(1-\alpha_2) d(z, STz) \le 0

which implies STz = zso that STz = z = Pz.

The point z therefore is in range of ST and since $ST(X) \subset P(X)$ there exists a point z'' in X such that Pz'' = z. Thus put x = z'' and y = z in equation (1)

$$d(ABz'', STz) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz'', Pz'')\{1 + d(STz, Pz)\}}{\{1 + d(Pz'', Pz)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(ABz'', Pz) + d(STz, Pz'')] + \alpha_3 d(Pz'', Pz) d(ABz'', z) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz'', z)\{1 + d(z, z)\}}{\{1 + d(z, z)\}} \right] \alpha_2 [d(ABz'', z) + d(z, z)] + \alpha_3 d(z, z) (1 - \alpha_2) d(ABz'', z) \le 0$$

incluse ABz'' = z

which implies ABz'' = z

Also since (AB,P) are semi-compatible are hence weakly commuting we obtain ABz = Pz = z Thus we have proved that z is a common fixed point of AB, ST and P.

If mappings ST or P is continuous instead of AB or P, then the proof that z is a common fixed point of AB,ST and P is similar.

Let v be another fixed point of P, AB and ST then v = Pv = ABv = STv

$$d(ABz, STv) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(ABz, Pz)\{1 + d(STv, Pv)\}}{\{1 + d(Pz, Pv)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(ABz, Pv) + d(STv, Pz)] + \alpha_3 d(Pz, Pv)$$

$$d(z, v) \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(z, z)\{1 + d(v, v)\}}{\{1 + d(z, v)\}} \right] + \alpha_2 [d(z, v) + d(v, z)] + \alpha_3 d(z, v)$$

$$d(z, v) \le (2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)d(z, v)$$

which implies z = v.

Finally we now show that z is also a common fixed point of the family $F=\{A,B,S,T,P\}$. When the pairs (A,B),(A,P),(B,P),(S,T),(S,P) and (T,P) are commuting pairs. For this event we write,

 $\begin{array}{l} Az=A(ABz)=A(BA)z=AB(Az)\\ Az=A(Pz)=AP(z)=PA(z)=P(Az)\\ Bz=B(ABz)=BA\ (Bz)=AB\ (Bz)\\ Bz=B(Pz)=BP(z)=PB(z)=P\ (Bz)\\ Sz=S(STz)=S(TS)z=ST(Sz)\\ Sz=S(Pz)=SP(z)=PS(z)=P(Sz)\\ Tz=T(STz)=TS\ (Tz)=ST\ (Tz)\\ Tz=T(Pz)=TP(z)=PT(z)=P\ (Tz) \end{array}$





ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

which shows that Az and Bz are common fixed point of (AB,P), yielding thereby Az =Bz =Pz = ABz. where as Sz and Tz are common fixed point of (ST,P) it also shows that Sz = z = Tz = Pz = STz.

Now we need to show that Az = Sz (Bz = Tz) also remains a common fixed point of both the pairs (AB,P) and (ST,P). For this

$$d(Az, Sz) = d(A(BAz), S (TSz)) = d(AB(Az), ST (Sz))$$

$$\leq \alpha_1 \left[\frac{d(AB(Az), P(Az)) \{1 + d(ST(Sz), P(Sz))\}}{\{1 + d(P(Az), P(Sz))\}} \right]$$

$$+ \alpha_2 \left[d(AB(Az), P(Sz)) + d(ST(Sz), P(Az)) \right] + \alpha_3 d(P(Az), P(Sz))$$

Implies that $(1-2\Box_2)d(Az,Sz) \le 0$ so that Az = Sz. Similarly it can be show that Bz=Tz. Thus z is the unique

Similarly it can be show that Bz=Tz, Thus z is the unique common fixed point of A,B, S,T and P.

Example. Let A, B, S,T and P be self mapping of Hilbert space H. Let X= [0,1] be a closed subset of H. We define mapping

$$Ax = \frac{3}{4}x, Bx = \frac{4}{9}x, Sx = \frac{2}{3}x, Tx = \frac{3}{10}x \text{ and } Px = \frac{1}{3}x.$$

Clearly $AB(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \subset P(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$ and $ST(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \subset P(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$ and
 $AB(X) \cap ST(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \cap \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \subset P(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$
so that $AB(X) \cap ST(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \subset P(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$

 $\lfloor J \rfloor \lfloor J \rfloor$ Also the pair (AB, P) (ST, P), (A,B), (S,T), (A,P), (B,P), (S,P) and (T,P) are commuting and semi-compatible or weak compatible.

For all x,y in X (x>y) with
$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{9}$$
 and $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ we have,
 $\left| \frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{5}y \right| \le \alpha_1 \left[\frac{\left| \frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{3}x \right| \left\{ 1 + \left| \frac{1}{5}y - \frac{1}{3}y \right| \right\}}{\left\{ 1 + \left| \frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{3}y \right| \right\}} \right] + \alpha_2 \left[\left| \frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{3}y \right| + \left| \frac{1}{5}y - \frac{1}{3}x \right| \right] + \alpha_3 \left| \frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{3}y \right|.$

Using $\frac{1}{5}y < \frac{1}{3}y$ we get, $\left|\frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{5}y\right| \le (2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)\left|\frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{5}y\right|$

which verifies the contraction condition (1).

Clearly 0 is unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T and P.



REFERENCES

- [1] Hicks, T.L., and Kybicek, J.P., On the Mann iteration process in Hilbert spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 59 (1977), 498-504.
- [2] Imdad, M., Five mappings with a common fixed point. Bull. Cal. Math.Soc.91,6(1999) 481-486.
- [3] Imdad,M., and Khan,Q.H., A common fixed point theorem for six mapping satisfying a rational inequality. 44(1), (2002), 47-57.
- [4] Fisher, B., Mappings with a common fixed point. Math. Sem. Notes. 7(1979) 81.
- [5] Fisher, B., An addendum to "Mappings with a common fixed point." Math.Sem. Notes. 8(1980) 513.
- [6] Jungck, G., Commuting mapping and fixed point. Amer. Math. Monthly. 83 (1976), 261.
- [7] Jungck, G., Compatible mapping on common fixed point, Internat J. Math. and Math. Sci. 9 (4) (1986), 771-779.
- [8] Lohani, P.C. and Badshah, V.H., Compatible mappings and common fixed point for four mappings, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 90 (1998), 301-308.
- [9] Pandhare, D.M. and Waghmade, B.B., A common fixed point theorem in Hilbert space. Acta. Ciencia. Indica. vol. XXIIM. (1997), 107-110.
- [10]Sessa, S., On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations. Publ. Inrt. Math. (Beograd).32 (1982),149-153.S
- [11]Srinivas .V and Naga Raju.V., Common Fixed Point Theorem on Compatible Mappings of Type (P) Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 21, No. 2, April 2014, pp. 87-94
- [12]Shrivastava. R, Jain. N and Qureshi .K., Compatible Mapping and Common Fixed Point Theorem. IOSR Journal of Mathematics e-ISSN: 2278-5728,p-ISSN: 2319-765X, Volume 7, Issue 1 (May. - Jun. 2013), PP 46-48
- [13]Gupta .V, Badshah.V.H and Malviya. P., Library Common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (A-1) in complete fuzzy metric space. Pelagia Research Library Advances in Applied Science Research, 2016, ISSN: 0976-8610 CODEN (USA): 7(2):132-137



ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070